1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
8					
9					
10	ORACLE AMERICA, INC., No. C 10-03561 WHA				
11	Plaintiff,				
12	v. SPECIAL VERDICT FORM				
13	GOOGLE INC.,				
14	Defendant.				
15 16					
17	YOUR ANSWERS MUST BE UNANIMOUS.				
18					
19	<u>Direct Infringement</u>				
20	1. Has Oracle proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Google directly				
21	infringes claims 11, 27, 29, 39, 40, and 41 of United States Patent Number RE38,104?				
22	Yes No (Infringing) (Not Proven)				
23	CLAIM 11:				
24	CLAIM 27:				
25	CLAIM 29:				
26	CLAIM 39:				
27	CLAIM 40:				
28	CLAIM 41:				

1	2. Has Oracle proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Google directly				
2	infringes claims 1, 8, 12, and 20 of United States Patent Number 6,061,520?				
3		<b>V</b>	NT.		
4		Yes (Infringing)	No (Not Proven)		
5	CLAIM 1:				
6	CLAIM 8:		<del></del>		
7	CLAIM 12	<b>::</b>			
8	CLAIM 20	<b></b>	<del></del>		
9					
10					
11		Indirect Infrin	<u>ngement</u>		
12	3. Has Oracle proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Google has induced or				
13	contributed to any infringement of claims 11, 27, 29, 39, 40, and 41 of United States Patent				
14	Number RE38,104? (Answer this question only as to the claim(s) for which you found "yes" in				
15	Question No. 1)				
16		Yes	No		
17		(Infringing)	(Not Proven)		
18	CLAIM 11	<b>:</b>			
19	CLAIM 27	<b>/:</b>			
20	CLAIM 29	<b></b>			
21	CLAIM 39	<b>:</b>			
22	CLAIM 40	<b>):</b>			
23	CLAIM 41	<b></b>			
24					
25					
26					
27					

4. Has Oracle prove	en by a preponderance	of the evidence that Google h	as induced or
contributed to any infringement	of claims 1, 8, 12, and	20 of United States Patent N	umber
6,061,520? (Answer this questi	on only as to the claim	(s) for which you found "yes'	'in
Question No. 2)			
	Yes (Infringing)	No (Not Proven)	
CLAIM 1:			
CLAIM 8:			
<b>CLAIM 12:</b>			
<b>CLAIM 20:</b>			
Dated: May, 2012.		Earthergay	
		Foreperson	